Abstract. If \( G \) is a semidirect product \( N \rtimes H \) with \( N \) finitely generated then \( G \) has the property that every finite group is a quotient of some finite index subgroup of \( G \) if and only if one of \( N \) and \( H \) has this property. This has applications to 3-manifolds; for instance for any fibred hyperbolic 3-manifold \( M \) and any finite simple group \( S \), there is a finite cyclic cover of \( M \) whose fundamental group surjects to \( S \). We also give a short proof of the residual finiteness of ascending HNN extensions of finite rank free groups when the induced map on homology is injective.

1. Introduction

One means of studying a finitely generated group \( G \) is to examine the set \( \mathcal{F}(G) \) consisting of the finite quotients of \( G \), as is done when taking the profinite completion of \( G \). Even if \( G \) is also a residually finite group, this might not give us the full picture. For instance it is unknown whether the following is true (this is problem (F14) in [15]): if there is \( n \geq 2 \) such that the residually finite, finitely generated group \( G \) has \( \mathcal{F}(G) \) consisting of all \( n \)-generator finite groups, then \( G \) is isomorphic to the free group \( F_n \) of rank \( n \).

If a finitely generated group \( G \) has many finite quotients then it has many finite index subgroups too and we can consider \( \mathcal{F}(H) \) for any \( H \) of finite index in \( G \). It is the case that \( \mathcal{F}(G) \) and \( \mathcal{F}(H) \) might look rather different, for instance if \( G \) is a perfect group (one which is equal to its commutator subgroup \( [G,G] \)) then there will be no non-trivial \( p \)-groups in \( \mathcal{F}(G) \) but there could be a complex collection of \( p \)-groups in \( \mathcal{F}(H) \) for many primes \( p \). This can happen for the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold.

In this paper we are interested in the question of which finitely generated groups \( G \) have the property that the union of \( \mathcal{F}(H) \) over the finite index subgroups \( H \) of \( G \) consists of all finite groups. It is clear that there are such groups, for instance non abelian free groups or anything that surjects onto one of these groups. Some other examples were given in [12] where it was shown that this property holds for any finitely generated LERF group (one where every finitely generated subgroup is the intersection of finite index subgroups) containing a non abelian free group. They call our property “having every finite group as a virtual quotient”. Moreover various consequences were given in [13], which collects together a large number of results on subgroup growth. In Chapter 3 of this book it is mentioned that our property, here called “having every finite group as an upper section”, holds for
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finitely generated groups with superexponential subgroup growth, and also with superpolynomial maximal subgroup growth.

We first find many more examples of finitely generated groups having every finite group as a virtual quotient by showing in Section 2 that if \( S \leq G \) then the set of finite quotients \( F(S) \) is contained in the union of \( F(H) \), where \( H \) varies over all finite index subgroups of \( G \), provided the following holds: whenever \( K \) is normal in \( S \) with finite index, there exists a finite index subgroup \( L \) of \( G \) with \( L \cap S = K \). This is straightforward if we impose that \( L \) is normal in \( G \) but our generalisation holds by adapting an argument in [12]. As we expect there to be many more finite index subgroups of \( G \) than finite index normal subgroups, we can exploit this in Section 3 where we examine semidirect products \( G = N \rtimes H \), with \( N \) finitely generated. We show that \( G \) has every finite group as a virtual quotient if and only if one of \( N \) and \( H \) does (but this need not be true if \( N \) is infinitely generated). Thus we can build up many groups with this property by taking repeated semidirect products of finitely generated groups as long as we merely ensure that one of the factors has the property.

In Section 4 we observe that if \( G = N \rtimes H \) for a finitely generated \( N \) which surjects to the finite group \( F \) then \( N \) is contained in the finite index subgroup of \( G \) which surjects to \( F \) as given by Section 2. We present a simple alternative proof of this and apply it to semidirect products of the form \( G = N \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \), where \( N \) is finitely generated and residually finite, in contrast to semidirect products \( G = N \rtimes \alpha \mathbb{Z} \). We adapt their construction slightly to obtain an example where the only finite quotients of \( G = N \ast \theta \) are cyclic. However it was shown in [3] using deep results in algebraic geometry that we do have residual finiteness when the base \( N \) of \( N \ast \theta \) is a finitely generated free group \( F_r \). We finish by presenting an elementary proof of this in a special case: when the map \( \theta \) induces an injective homomorphism on the abelianisation \( F_r/[F_r,F_r] \). The proof generalises to an ascending HNN extension of any finitely generated group \( N \) having a prime \( p \) such that \( N \) is residually finite \( p \) and the homomorphism that \( \theta \) induces on the \( p \)-abelianisation \( N/N^p[N,N] \) is invertible.

2. Virtual Finite Images

If a group is generated by \( n \) elements then any quotient has this property too, thus no finitely generated group can surject to all finite groups. However we may ask if every finite group is a virtual image of a given finitely generated group \( G \):
this means that for any finite group $F$ there is a finite index subgroup $H$ of $G$ (for which we write $H \leq_f G$) with $H$ surjecting to $F$. For instance the free group $F_n$ of rank $n$ has this property when $n \geq 2$. Other examples are large groups, where $G$ is large if there is a finite index subgroup of $G$ which surjects to $F_2$. These include surface groups $\pi_1(S_g)$, where $S_g$ is the orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$, and (non abelian) limit groups. This definition of large comes from [16], where a “large” property of groups is defined to be an abstract group property $P$ such that if $H$ has $P$ and $G$ surjects to $H$ then $G$ has $P$, and if $H \leq_f G$ then $H$ has $P$ if and only if $G$ has $P$. It is shown there that if $P$ is a “large” property satisfied by one finitely generated group then any large finitely generated group must have $P$.

Proposition 2.1. Having every finite group as a virtual image is a “large” property.

Proof. We just need to show that if $H \leq_f G$ and $G$ has every finite group as a virtual image then so does $H$.

Suppose that $[G : H] = n$. Given a finite group $F$, we have $L \leq_f G$ with a homomorphism $\theta$ from $L$ to the direct product $F \times \ldots \times F$ of $k$ copies of $F$, where $2^k > n$. Now if $A = L \cap H$ then $[L : A] \leq n$ and moreover $\theta(A)$ also has index at most $n$ in $F \times \ldots \times F$. Now consider the projection $\pi_i$ from $F \times \ldots \times F$ to the $i$th factor. If $\pi_i(\theta(A)) = F$ for any $i$ then we are done because $A \leq_f H$, but if not we have that $|\pi_i(\theta(A))| \leq |F|/2$ for all $i$, giving $|\theta(A)| \leq (|F|/2)^k$ so that $\theta(A)$ has index at least $2^k$ in $F \times \ldots \times F$, which is a contradiction. □

This property is also considered in [13] Chapter 3 under the title of having every finite group as an upper section (where a section of $G$ is a quotient $H/N$ of a subgroup $H$ of $G$, and upper means that $N$, hence $H$, has finite index in $G$). We will use the two phrases interchangeably throughout. Theorem 3.1 of this book, which is originally Theorem 1.1 in [17], states that if a finitely generated group $G$ does not have every finite group as an upper section then $G$ can have at most exponential subgroup growth type, whereas free groups and large groups have superexponential subgroup growth type of type $n^n$. To define these terms, let $a_n(G)$ be the number of index $n$ subgroups of $G$ and $s_n(G) = a_1(G) + \ldots + a_n(G)$. If $G$ is finitely generated then $a_n(G)$ is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $G$ has exponential subgroup growth type if there exist $a, b > 0$ such that $s_n(G) \leq e^{an}$ for all large $n$ and $s_n \geq e^{bn}$ for infinitely many $n$ (and more generally growth of type $f(n)$ by replacing $e^n$ with $f(n)$).

Thus having subgroup growth type which is bigger than exponential, meaning that $\limsup \frac{\log s_n(G)}{n}$ is infinite, is a major restriction as it implies that every finite group is an upper section. However it is also shown in this book that there exist finitely generated groups with exponential or slower subgroup growth type which have every finite group as an upper section. This is done in Chapter 13 Section 2 by considering profinite groups which are the product of various alternating groups and then taking finitely generated dense subgroups.

We can also count subgroups with a specific property, such as being maximal, normal or subnormal. Another related result, which is Theorem 3.5 (i) in the same book and from [4] Theorem 1.1, states that if $G$ does not have every finite group as an upper section then $G$ has polynomial maximal subgroup growth. Again the converse is not true, with similar examples verifying this.
Suppose that $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ (with both finitely generated) and $H$ has every finite group as an upper section. If $H$ has infinite index in $G$ then one would not expect that this property would transfer across to $G$, for instance if $G$ is an infinite simple group containing a non abelian free subgroup then $G$ has no finite images at all except for the trivial group $I = \{e\}$. However there is one obvious situation when this can be done.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose that $H \leq G$ and for any finite index normal subgroup $K$ of $H$ there exists a finite index normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ such that $H \cap N = K$. If $H$ surjects to the finite group $F$ then $G$ has $F$ as a virtual image.

**Proof.** This is simply because if $K \leq_f H$ with $H/K \cong F$ and $H \cap N = K$ for $N \leq_f G$ then $NH/N \cong H/K$ with $NH \leq_f G$. □

**Corollary 2.3.** Suppose $H \leq G$ and $H$ has every finite group as an upper section. If for all $M \leq_f H$ and $K \leq_f M$ we have $L \leq_f G$ such that $L \cap M = K$ then $G$ has every finite group as an upper section.

**Proof.** If we have a finite group $F$ and $M \leq_f H$ with $K \leq_f M$ such that $M/K \cong F$ then $LM$ also has $F$ as a finite quotient by Proposition 2.2. □

In [12], Theorem 2.1 states that if $H$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $G$ with $H$ having every finite group as an upper section and $G$ is LERF, that is every finitely generated subgroup of $G$ is an intersection of finite index subgroups, then $G$ also has every finite group as an upper section. However on examining the proof, it seems that the LERF property is rather stronger than required for the result to hold and so we offer a version of this result with essentially the same proof but with a different hypothesis.

**Theorem 2.4.** Suppose that $H \leq G$ and for any finite index normal subgroup $K$ of $H$ there exists a finite index subgroup $L$ of $G$, but not necessarily normal in $G$, such that $H \cap L = K$. If $H$ surjects to the finite group $F$ then $G$ has $F$ as a virtual image.

**Proof.** On being given $K \leq_f H$ with $H/K \cong F$ and $L \leq_f G$ with $L \cap H = K$ we let $\Delta$ be the intersection of $hLh^{-1}$ over all $h \in H$. As $\Delta$ is a subgroup, it is invariant under conjugation by its own elements, and by elements of $H$ too as this just permutes the terms in the intersection. Thus $\Delta$ is normal in the subgroup generated by $\Delta$ and $H$, which therefore is $\Delta H$. We have $\Delta H/\Delta \cong H/(\Delta \cap H)$ and we now show that $\Delta \cap H = K$: certainly $\Delta \leq L$ so $\Delta \cap H \leq L \cap H$. Conversely we need to show that for any $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ we have $h^{-1}kh \in L$, but this is true because $K$ is normal in $H$ and is contained in $L$. Finally $\Delta \leq_f G$ because $L \leq_f G$ implies that $L \cap H \leq_f H$, with the elements of $L \cap H$ conjugating $L$ to itself. □

**Corollary 2.5.** Suppose $H \leq G$ and $H$ has every finite group as an upper section. If for all $M \leq_f H$ and $K \leq_f M$ we have $L \leq_f G$ such that $L \cap M = K$ then $G$ has every finite group as an upper section.

**Proof.** This is the same proof as Corollary 2.3 but applying Theorem 2.4 instead of Proposition 2.2. □
The Long-Reid result in [12] follows because if $G$ is LERF and there is a finitely generated subgroup $M$ of $G$ with $K \leq_f M$ such that $M/K$ is the finite group $F$ then we can find $L \leq_f G$ with $L \cap M = K$. This is done by taking coset representatives $e = m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$ of $K$ in $M$ and finding $L_i \leq_f G$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ with $K \leq L_i$ but $m_i \notin L_i$, then intersecting the $L_i$.

3. Semidirect Products

In order to apply Corollary 2.5, one needs a wide class of groups where not all members are (or are known to be) large or LERF. Semidirect products provide such a class. If we have groups $N$ and $H$ with an homomorphism $\theta : H \to \text{Aut}(N)$ then we can form the semidirect product $G = N \rtimes_\theta H$ with $G/N \cong H$. Given a group $G$ we may be able to see it as an internal semidirect product, by finding subgroups $N$ and $H$ of $G$, with $N$ normal, where $NH = G$ and $N \cap H = I$. In this case the homomorphism $\theta$ is given by the conjugation action of $H$ on $N$.

One nice feature of semidirect products $G = NH$ is that their subgroup structure is not too complicated. If $L$ is a subgroup of $G$ then it might not be the case that $L = SR$ for $S \leq N$ and $R \leq H$: indeed this is not even true for direct products. However finite index subgroups of a semidirect product are “not too far away” from having this structure.

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that $G = N \rtimes_\theta H$. Then any finite index subgroup $L \leq_f G$ contains a finite index subgroup of the form $S \rtimes_\theta R$, where $S \leq_f N$ and $R \leq_f H$. Moreover if $N$ is finitely generated and we are given any finite index subgroup $S \leq_f N$ then we can find $L \leq_f G$ with $L \cap N = S$.

**Proof.** Given $L \leq_f G$ we have that $S = L \cap N$ has finite index in $N$ and is also normal in $L$. On setting $R = L \cap H$ we have that $S$ is preserved under conjugation by $R$ so $SR$ is the subgroup generated by $S$ and $R$ with $S \leq SR$, thus $SR$ is also a semidirect product. Also $SR$ has finite index in $G$: for this we can assume that $L \leq G$ by replacing $L$ with a smaller finite index subgroup which will only reduce $SR$. Then on taking left coset representatives $n_i$ for $S$ in $N$ and $h_i$ for $R$ in $H$, we have that any $g \in G$ is equal to $nh$ for $n \in N$ and $h \in H$, thus also equal to $n_ih_isr$ for $s \in S, r \in R$. But this is equal to $n_ih_isr' \in n_ih_iSR$ because here $S \leq G$.

Now suppose we have $S$ with $[N : S] = i$ and note that the set $S_i$ of index $i$ subgroups of $N$ is finite because $N$ is finitely generated. As $H$ acts on $S_i$ by conjugation, the stabiliser $R$ of $S$ in $H$ has finite index in $H$ and we can form the finite index subgroup $L = S \rtimes_\theta R$ of $G$ where we restrict $\theta$ from $R$ to $\text{Aut}(S)$. But if $g \in SR \cap N$, so that we have respective elements $s, r, n$ with $g = sr = n$ then $r \in N \cap R = I$, thus $g \in S$. \qed

If $G = N \rtimes H$ and $G$ is finitely generated then so is $H$ as it is a quotient of $G$. However this need not imply that $N$ is finitely generated, so our main interest will be in semidirect products where $H$ and $N$ (hence $G$) are finitely generated. If $H$ has every finite group as a virtual quotient then so does $G$ (indeed this applies if $H$ is merely a quotient of $G$, by the correspondence theorem). However we can now prove the more surprising fact that the same is true with $N$ and $H$ swapped.

**Corollary 3.2.** If $G = N \rtimes H$ with $N$ finitely generated, and $N$ has every finite group as a virtual quotient then so does $G$. 

**Proof.** We need to show that the conditions of Corollary 2.5 are satisfied, where in the hypothesis \( H \) has now become \( N \). Given \( M \leq f N \) and \( K \leq f M \), we can find \( R \leq f H \) such that \( MR \) is also a semidirect product by the second part of the proof of Proposition 3.1. Now on applying this proposition again to \( MR = M \rtimes R \), we obtain \( L \leq f MR \leq f G \) with \( L \cap M = K \) because \( M \) is finitely generated too. \( \Box \\

**Notes:**

(1) We certainly need \( N \) to be finitely generated in Corollary 3.2 as the example in [2] is a semidirect product \( G = F_\infty \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) where \( F_\infty \) is a free group of infinite rank but the only finite quotients of \( G \), and of its finite index subgroups, are cyclic.

(2) Although the conditions of Corollary 2.5 are satisfied for semidirect products \( N \rtimes H \) when \( N \) is finitely generated, we remark that the conditions in Corollary 2.3 need not be. For instance, take \( H = F_2 \) with \( G = F_2 \rtimes_0 \mathbb{Z} \), \( M = H \) and \( K \) an index 2 subgroup of \( H \). If there is \( L \) normal in \( G \) with \( L \cap H = K \) then \( L \cap H \) is the intersection of normal subgroups and so is normal in \( G \) too. This means that \( tKt^{-1} = K \) where \( t \in G \) generates the factor \( \mathbb{Z} \), thus forcing \( \theta(K) = K \) which need not be the case.

We now say a few words on largeness and LERF of semidirect products as in the statement of Corollary 3.2, so that \( G = N \rtimes H \) with both factors finitely generated and \( N \) has every finite group as a virtual quotient. First if we have a direct product \( G = N \times H \), or if \( H \) is finite so that \( N \leq f G \), then the corollary says nothing new. For a direct product \( G \), if one factor is large then \( G \) is large but if \( N \) and \( H \) are LERF then \( N \times H \) need not be, as shown by the example \( F_2 \times F_2 \) in [1]. However in both cases virtual quotients of \( N \) and of \( H \) are also virtual quotients of \( G \). Moreover if \( H \) is finite then \( G \) is large or LERF if and only if \( N \) is large or LERF respectively.

Now suppose that \( H \) is not normal in \( G \) (which is equivalent to \( G = N \rtimes H \) not being the direct product of \( N \) and \( H \)) but is infinite. Let us take \( H \) to be the smallest infinite group \( Z \) and \( N \) to be a group known to have all finite groups as virtual images. If \( N \) is the free group \( F_n \) for \( n \geq 2 \) then \( F_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) need not be LERF in general by [5], and it is known to be large if it contains \( \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) by [6] but otherwise this is open. Similarly if \( N = \pi_1(S_g) \) for \( S_g \) the closed orientable surface of genus \( g \geq 2 \) then \( \pi_1(S_g) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) need not be LERF in general (for instance one can put together two copies of the above example for \( F_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) so that the resulting group contains subgroups which are not LERF), and although some groups of this form have been proved large by geometric considerations, the question of whether all of these groups are large is very much open too. Thus Corollary 3.2 tells us that all groups of the form \( F_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) or \( \pi_1(S_g) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) have every finite group as a virtual quotient.

We now look at the reverse situation where \( G = N \rtimes H \) and \( G \) has every finite group as an upper section, to see what this implies for \( N \) or \( H \).

**Lemma 3.3.** A group \( G \) has every finite group as an upper section if and only if it has infinitely many distinct alternating groups \( A_n \) as upper sections.

**Proof.** Every finite group \( F \) is a subgroup of \( A_N \) for some \( N \) (and hence for all \( n \geq N \)): this is clear for \( S_N \) and if the resulting subgroup has odd permutations then we can increase \( N \) by 2 and add a 2-cycle to the odd elements.
Now for $F$ and $N$ above, suppose that we have $L \leq_f G$ with a surjection $\theta$ from $L$ to $A_n$ for some $n \geq N$. As $F \leq A_n$ we can pull it back to get $\theta^{-1}(F) \leq_f L \leq_f G$ with $\theta\theta^{-1}(F) = F$. 

**Theorem 3.4.** If $G = N \rtimes H$ and $G$ has every finite group as an upper section then either $N$ or $H$ does too.

**Proof.** We can assume that there is $n_0 \geq 5$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the group $A_n$ is not an upper section of $H$, as otherwise we are done by Lemma 3.3. Now given any $n$ which is at least $n_0$, we know there is $L \leq_f G$ and a surjection $\theta : L \to A_n$. As $N \leq G$ we have that $S = L \cap N \leq L$. Thus $\theta(S)$ is normal in $A_n$, meaning that if we can eliminate $\theta(S) = I$ we obtain $\theta(S) = A_n$ and as $L \leq_f G$ we get $S \leq_f N$ so $A_n$ is an upper section of $N$ and we are done by Lemma 3.3 again.

Now if $\theta(L) = A_n$ but $\theta(S) = I$ we see that $\theta$ factors through $L/S \cong L\langle N/N$. But $L\langle N/N$ is a finite index subgroup of $G/N \cong H$, which does not have $A_n$ as an upper section. □

We can form repeated semidirect products $G = G_1 \rtimes G_2 \times \ldots \times G_n$ for finitely generated groups $G_i$, where for this to be defined we would need to bracket all terms in some way and provide the appropriate homomorphisms. What Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 show is that no matter how this is done, $G$ has every finite group as an upper section if and only if at least one of the $G_i$ does.

We also have the following.

**Corollary 3.5.** If $G$ is a repeated semidirect product of finitely generated groups $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ and $G$ has bigger than exponential subgroup growth type then at least one of the $G_i$ has every finite group as an upper section. Conversely if one of the $G_i$ has bigger than exponential subgroup growth type then $G$ has every finite group as an upper section.

**Proof.** The subgroup growth condition implies that $G$ or $G_i$ has every finite group as an upper section, so now repeatedly apply Theorem 3.4 or Corollary 3.2 respectively. □

We remark that if $G = N \rtimes H$ and $N$ has bigger than exponential subgroup growth then it is not known whether $G$ does, even when $N = F_n$ and $H = \mathbb{Z}$.

### 4. Cyclic Covers of Groups and Fibred Manifolds

If we look back to Theorem 2.4 and assume the conditions are satisfied, where now we replace $H$ with $S$, we conclude that if $S \leq G$ and $S$ surjects to the finite group $F$ then a finite index subgroup of $G$ surjects to $F$ as well. But if we examine the proof, we see that this subgroup contains $S$. If we now specialise to the case where $G = N \rtimes H$ for $N$ finitely generated with $N = S$ above then any subgroup $L$ of $G$ which contains $N$ must be of the form $L = N \rtimes (H \cap L)$, because if $l \in L$ and $l = nh$ for $n \in N$ and $h \in H$ then $h \in L$ too.

We give here a quick alternative proof for semidirect products which can be more useful for constructive purposes.

**Proposition 4.1.** If $G = N \rtimes H$ for $N$ finitely generated and $N$ surjects to the finite group $F$ then there exists $L \leq_f G$ containing $N$ with $L$ surjecting to $F$. 
Proof. If $K \triangleleft_f N$ with $N/K \cong F$ then, as $N$ is finitely generated, we have a finite index characteristic subgroup $C$ of $N$ which is contained in $K$. Consequently $N/C$ surjects to $F$ too and the conjugation action of $H$ on $N$ descends to one on $N/C$. As $N/C$ is finite, we take the intersection $S \leq_f H$ of all point stabilisers of this action, so $sns^{-1} = nC$ for all $n \in N$ and $s \in S$. We then let $L = N \rtimes S$ and observe that the surjection from $N$ to $F$ via $N/C$ extends to $L$ by sending all of $S$ to the identity.

This result might not be of interest if there is no reason to favour finite index subgroups of $G$ that contain $N$ over other finite index subgroups. However there is one setting, motivated by topology, where these subgroups are given an important role. This is when $G = N \rtimes \alpha \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha$ an automorphism of $N$. If $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the element $t$ then we define the cyclic cover $G_n$ of $G$ to be the index $n$ subgroup $\langle N, t^n \rangle$. We have that $G_n \triangleleft G$; indeed we can think of $G_n$ as the kernel of the map from $G$ to the cyclic group $C_n$ given by the exponent sum of $t$ modulo $n$. If $N$ has a presentation $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \mid r_1, r_2, \ldots \rangle$ then a presentation for $G_n$ would be

$$\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k, t \mid r_1, r_2, \ldots, tg_1t^{-1} = \alpha(g_1), \ldots, tg_kt^{-1} = \alpha(g_k) \rangle$$

and for $G_n$, we have

$$\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k, s \mid r_1, r_2, \ldots, sg_1s^{-1} = \alpha^n(g_1), \ldots, sg_ks^{-1} = \alpha^n(g_k) \rangle$$

where $s = t^n$.

In particular all of the $G_n$ are generated by $k + 1$ elements. The connection with topology is that if $N = \pi_1(M)$, the fundamental group of a $d$ dimensional manifold $M$, then on taking a homeomorphism $h$ of $M$, we can form the $d + 1$ dimensional manifold which is fibre over the circle $S^1$ with fibre $M$ using $h$, and this has fundamental group $N \rtimes h_* \mathbb{Z}$ where $h_*$ is the automorphism of $N$ induced by $h$. If $d = 2$ then $N$ must be the fundamental group of a surface, and if this surface is compact and orientable then $N = F_n$ for a bounded surface and $\pi_1(S^2)$ if it is closed. In particular this discussion applies to fibre knots, where $N$ is free and the cyclic covers take on particular importance. We see that in the case where $G = N \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, any finite index subgroup $L$ of $G$ that contains $N$ is a cyclic cover, as if $G = \langle N, t \rangle$ then $L = \langle N, t^n \rangle$ for $n$ the smallest positive integer with $t^n \in L$. Thus if there is a surjection from $N$ to a finite group $F$ then there is also a surjection from a cyclic cover of $G$ to $F$. Consequently we see all the finite images of $N$ amongst the finite images of the cyclic covers of $G$. We also have:

Corollary 4.2. If the finitely generated group $N$ surjects to the finite group $F$ then for any $G = N \rtimes \alpha \mathbb{Z}$ there are infinitely many cyclic covers of $G$ that surject to $F$.

Proof. On looking at the proof of Proposition 4.1 we see that the cyclic cover $G_n$ of $G$ surjects to $F$ provided that the automorphism of $N/C$ induced by $\alpha$ satisfies $\alpha^n = \text{id}$. Thus any integer multiple of $n$ works too.

This observation has various consequences if we are interested in (non abelian) finite simple images of groups, as by the classification of finite simple groups all of them are 2-generated.

Corollary 4.3. If the finitely generated group $N$ surjects to the free group $F_2$ and $G$ is any group of the form $N \rtimes \alpha \mathbb{Z}$ then for any finite list of finite simple
groups $S_1, \ldots, S_l$ there exist infinitely many cyclic covers of $G$ which surject to all of $S_1, \ldots, S_l$.

**Proof.** As $N$ surjects to $F_2$ it consequently surjects to each of the $S_i$. Applying Corollary 4.2 gives us an integer $n_i$ such that any cyclic cover of $G$ having index 0 modulo $n_i$ surjects to $S_i$. Thus we can take any multiple of the lowest common multiple of $n_1, \ldots, n_l$. □

Again applications are provided by compact 3-manifolds which are fibred over the circle, as if the fibre is a surface of negative Euler characteristic then the fundamental group is either (non abelian) free, a closed orientable surface group (of genus at least 2), or a closed non-orientable surface group (of genus $g \geq 3$) with fundamental group having a presentation $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_g | x_2^2 x_2^2 \ldots x_g^2 \rangle$. This also surjects to $F_2$ unless $g = 3$ (see [14] page 52), although even in this case we have every finite simple group as a quotient (for instance by the Corollary of [11] which implies that every finite simple group has a generating pair where one element is an involution).

In particular if $M$ is a compact 3-manifold (with or without boundary) that fibres over the circle and the fibre has negative Euler characteristic then any finite simple group is a quotient of a cyclic cover of $M$.

We now say a few words on what is known about the finite simple images of the fundamental group of an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$ of finite volume. It is certainly true that $M$ has infinitely many images of type $PSL(2, \mathbb{F})$ for $\mathbb{F}$ a finite field, coming from the fact that $\pi_1(M)$ is a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. However a lot less seems to be known about other types. There exist examples $M$, both closed and with boundary, where $\pi_1(M)$ surjects to $F_2$ and so all finite simple groups appear. A range of results are obtained in [8] which fixes a finite simple group $F$ and considers the question of whether $\pi_1(M)$ has $F$ as a quotient from a probabilistic point of view. In particular the authors take a genus $g \geq 2$ and define the concept of a manifold $M$ of a random Heegaard splitting of genus $g$ and complexity $L$. There are only finitely many of these for fixed $g$ and $L$ so the probability that $M$ has a particular property, such as $\pi_1(M)$ surjecting to a finite group $F$, is well defined. It is shown in Proposition 6.1 that for any $F$ this probability tends to a limit $p(F,g)$ as $L$ tends to infinity. Moreover when $F$ is any non abelian finite simple group, Theorem 7.1 obtains the limit of $p(F,g)$ as $g$ tends to infinity: in particular it is strictly between 0 and 1.

But if we specialise to a family of simple groups not involving $PSL(2, \mathbb{F})$ then things are less clear: for instance Question 7.6 of this paper asks whether every closed (or finite volume) hyperbolic 3-manifold has a quotient $A_n$ for some $n \geq 5$. We can have variants on this question: does every closed (or finite volume) hyperbolic 3-manifold have a quotient $A_n$ for infinitely many $n$ or all but finitely many $n$? We do not know of a specific example proven not to have either one of these properties.

We did however locate an example of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold which surjects to all but finitely many, but not all $A_n$. In [7] the extended $[3,5,3]$ Coxeter group $\Gamma$, which is now known to be the fundamental group of the smallest closed non orientable hyperbolic 3-orbifold, is studied along with its orientable double cover $\Gamma^+$ (the smallest orientable example). Theorem 4.1 in this paper states that for all large $n$, $A_n$ and $S_n$ are quotients both of $\Gamma$ and of $\Gamma^+$. This is proved by
an intricate argument that links together copies of particular permutation representations of each group in order to form transitive permutation representations of arbitrarily large degree. However it is easily checked, using the given presentation and MAGMA or GAP, that $\Gamma^+$ does not surject to small $A_n$. If one wants a 3-manifold, rather than a 3-orbifold, with this property then they show that the group $\Sigma_{60a}$ with index 60 in $\Gamma^+$ is torsion free, thus $\mathbb{H}^3/\Sigma_{60a}$ is a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Again the computer tells us that it does not surject to $A_n$ for $n = 5, 6, 7, 10$ (though it does for 8 and 9). As for large $n$, any homomorphism sending $\Gamma^+$ to $A_n$ maps $\Sigma_{60a}$ to a subgroup of index at most 60, which must be $A_n$ for $n \geq 61$. In particular this observation shows that if a group $G$ surjects to infinitely many $A_n$ or all but finitely many $A_n$, then any finite index subgroup has this property too.

Another point of interest in this question is provided by [13] Theorem 3.5 (iii), originally Corollary II (ii) in [18], which states that if a finitely generated group surjects to only finitely many groups from all $A_n$ and $S_n$ then the growth type for the number of maximal subgroups of index $n$ is at most $n^{\sqrt{n}}$. Now for the free group $F_2$ it is $n^n$ (the same growth type as for all subgroups of index $n$) and, as maximal subgroups pull back to maximal subgroups under any surjection by the correspondence theorem, any hyperbolic 3-manifold with a surjection from its fundamental group to $F_2$ must also have this property. Thus if there exist hyperbolic 3-manifolds with only finitely many surjections to $A_n$ and $S_n$, we would witness two markedly different types of growth of a natural quantity purely within the class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

5. Ascending HNN Extensions

One generalisation of a semidirect product of the form $N \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ is an ascending HNN extension $N*_{\theta}$. Whereas $\alpha$ must be an automorphism of $N$, we only require that $\theta : N \to N$ is an injective homomorphism, not necessarily surjective (although $N$ needs to have proper subgroups isomorphic to itself, namely $N$ is non co-Hopfian, in order for existence of a $\theta$ which is not an automorphism). If $\langle X | R \rangle$ is a presentation for $N$ then, on taking a stable letter $t$ we obtain the presentation $\langle X,t | R, txt^{-1} = \theta(x)\forall x \in X \rangle$.

Ascending HNN extensions sometimes have comparable properties to semidirect products, so we can ask whether $N$ having all finite groups as virtual images implies the same for $N*_{\theta}$. In fact we can even ask the same question for any HNN extension in which $N$ is the base. It is certainly not true for non ascending HNN extensions, which is where we have an isomorphism $\theta : A \to B$ of the associated subgroups $A$ and $B$ of $N$, with both $A$ and $B$ proper subgroups. To see this, let $N = \langle x, y \mid x^3 = y^2 \rangle$ which is the fundamental group of the trefoil knot, thus has every finite group as a virtual image (for a number of reasons, for instance by Corollary 3.2 as the knot is fibred). But on taking $A = \langle x \rangle$ and $B = \langle y \rangle$ with $\theta(x) = y$ we get

$$N*_{\theta} = \langle x, y, t \mid txt^{-1} = y, x^3 = y^2 \rangle$$

which on eliminating $y$ is seen to be the famous non Hopfian Baumslag Solitar group $BS(2,3)$ whose only finite quotients are metabelian.

Unfortunately a similar phenomenon can happen for ascending HNN extensions, as was demonstrated in [19], where the ascending HNN extension $\Gamma = G*_{\sigma}$ of the
Grigorchuk group $G$ formed by using the Lysenok endomorphism $\sigma$ is shown to have all finite images metabelian: indeed $G$ maps to a quotient of $(C_2)^2$ in any finite image of $\Gamma$. If a group $\Gamma$ has every finite image metabelian then the finite residual $R_\Gamma$ (the intersection of all finite index subgroups) contains the second derived group $\Gamma''$. But as $R_\Gamma = R_\Delta$ for any $\Delta \leq f \Gamma$, we would have $\Delta'' \leq \Gamma'' \leq R_\Delta$ so all virtual finite quotients of $\Gamma$ are metabelian too. Now the Grigorchuk group $G$ is a 2-group so certainly does not have every finite group as a virtual image: only finite 2-groups, which must be nilpotent, can appear here. But $G$ has a much wider range of finite images than the finite index subgroups of $\Gamma$: if $G$ had only metabelian finite images then it would be metabelian itself, as $G$ is residually finite so $R_G = I$. However this is not true as $G$ is a finitely generated infinite torsion group. In particular $\Gamma$ cannot be metabelian and so this paper gives us an example of a non residually finite ascending HNN extension where the base is finitely generated and residually finite. This is in contrast to semidirect products where Malcev showed that if $N$ is finitely generated then $N \rtimes H$ is residually finite if both $N$ and $H$ are too. The proof is essentially Proposition 3.1, although we again remind ourselves of the example in [2] showing that this result fails if $N$ is not finitely generated.

This suggests that if we are given a finitely generated residually finite group $N$ which has every finite group as a virtual quotient then it seems unreasonable to expect that an ascending HNN extension $N*\theta$ will have this property too unless we already know that the extension is residually finite as well. One case where this has been established is in [3] which shows that ascending HNN extensions of free groups $F_r$ are residually finite. In contrast to the quick proof for semidirect products, this argument is deep and highly non trivial, involving material in algebraic geometry (further use is made of this area, as well as some model theory, to generalise the conclusion to ascending HNN extensions of finitely generated linear groups). Whilst we do not invoke this theorem to establish that ascending HNN extensions of free groups $F_r$ have every finite group as a virtual quotient (which we leave open), in the course of looking for a proof we were able to come up with a considerable simplification of the residually finite result for certain endomorphisms; those that induce an injective map on the abelianisation of $F_r$.

To provide the necessary background, first note that any ascending HNN extension $\Gamma = G*\theta$ with stable letter $t$ has an associated homomorphism $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by the exponent sum of $t$ in an element of $\Gamma$. Now $\Gamma$ is also a semidirect product $K \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ where $K = \ker(\theta)$ but $K = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} t^{-1}Gt^i$ which is an ascending union, and a strictly ascending union if $\theta$ is not surjective (which means that $K$ is not finitely generated). Thus any element not in $K$ survives under some homomorphism to a finite cyclic group. Moreover any element in $K$ is conjugate to one in $G$, so if a conjugate of $g \in G$ is in $R_\Gamma$ then $g$ will be as well because $R_\Gamma \leq \Gamma$.

Consequently if $G$ is residually finite, in order to establish residual finiteness for an ascending HNN extension $\Gamma = G*\theta$ we need only consider the non identity elements $x$ of $G$ and look for some finite index subgroup $\Delta \leq G$ with $x \notin \Delta$. We would like to use the fact that we have finite index subgroups $H$ of $G$ with $x \notin H$, for instance we would be done if such an $H$ somehow gave rise to a $\Delta$ satisfying $\Delta \cap G = H$. However in the strictly ascending situation, there are severe restrictions on which $H \leq G$ are the intersection with $G$ of a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma$. 


Proposition 5.1. If $\Gamma = G *_{\theta} G$ is an ascending HNN extension of a group $G$ and $H \leq_f G$ then there exists $\Delta \leq_f \Gamma$ with $\Delta \cap G = H$ if and only if there is $l > 0$ with $\theta^{-l}(H) = H$.

Proof. Suppose on being given $H$ we have such a $\Delta$. Being of finite index implies there is $l > 0$ with $t^l \in \Delta$. As any $h \in H$ is in $\Delta$, we have that $t^l h t^{-l} = \theta^l(h)$ is in $\Delta$ but also in $G$, so $\theta^l(H) \leq H$, implying $H \leq \theta^{-l}(H)$. Now take $g \in \theta^{-l}(H)$, so that $g \in G$ of course. We have $g = t^{-l} h_0 t^l$ for some $h_0 \in H$, and $t^l, h_0 \in \Delta$ implies that $g$ is too, thus $g \in H$.

Conversely it is shown in [6] Proposition 4.3 (iv) by a short but careful argument that if $H$ is any finite index subgroup of $G$ then $(H, t) \leq_f \Gamma$. Now, just as for semidirect products over $\mathbb{Z}$, we have cyclic covers $\Gamma_n = \langle G, t^n \rangle$ of $\Gamma$ which are themselves ascending HNN extensions with $s = t^n$ as stable letter, formed by using the endomorphism $\theta^n$. Thus if we have $H = \theta^{-1}(H) = \theta^{-2l}(H) = \theta^{-3l}(H) = \ldots$ then set $\Delta = \langle H, s = t^l \rangle \leq_f \Gamma_1 = \langle G, s \rangle \leq_f \Gamma$. It is clear that $H \leq \Delta \cap G$ so let $g \in \Delta \cap G$. As $\theta^l(H) \leq H$, we have that $\Delta$ is also an ascending HNN extension with stable letter $s$, by restricting $\theta^l$ to $H$. This means that any element of $\Delta$ can be expressed in the form $s^{-p} h s^q$ for $p, q \geq 0$ and $h \in H$. Now if $g = s^{-p} h s^q$ then we must have $p = q$ as $g$ is in the kernel of the associated homomorphism (which is just restriction to $\Delta$ of that for $\Gamma$). Thus $\theta^l(g) = s^p g s^{-p} \in H$, meaning that $g \in \theta^{-p}(H) = H$.

As for finding such subgroups which are invariant under pullback by (a power of) $\theta$, it is shown in [6] Theorem 4.4 that if $G$ is finitely generated (which henceforth we will assume) then on repeatedly pulling back $H$ via $\theta$, we obtain $\theta^{-k}(H) = \theta^{-k-l}(H)$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $l > 0$. This means that on setting $L = \theta^{-k}(H)$ we have $\theta^{-l}(L) = L$. However it could well be that we find $L$ is all of $G$ anyway. What is required is a good supply of fully invariant subgroups, meaning that $\theta(L) \leq L$ for any endomorphism $\theta$, which implies that $L$ is contained in $\theta^{-1}(L)$.

Now further suppose that $L$ has finite index in $G$. In this case we would have $L = \theta^{-1}(L)$ if and only if $[G : L] = [G : \theta^{-1}(L)]$. In fact this happens if and only if $\theta(G)L = G$. This follows because the right hand side is equal to $[\theta^{-1}(G) : \theta^{-1}(L \cap \theta(G))]$, and as $\theta(G)$ and $L \cap \theta(G)$ are obviously in the image of $\theta$, this index is preserved on removing $\theta^{-1}$ to get $[\theta(G) : L \cap \theta(G)] = [\theta(G)L : L]$.

Possibilities for these fully invariant subgroups are, given a prime $p$, the derived $p$-series and the lower central $p$-series, both of which intersect in the identity in the case of a free group $F_r$ and have first term $F_r[p, F_r]$ with quotient $(C_p)^r$.

Theorem 5.2. If $F_r$ is the free group of rank $r \geq 2$ and $\theta$ is an injective endomorphism of $F_r$, then consider the induced homomorphism of abelianisations $\overline{\theta} : \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$ given by $\theta(x)[F_r, F_r] = \overline{\theta}(x[F_r, F_r])$. If $\det(\overline{\theta}) \neq 0$ then the ascending HNN extension $\Gamma = F_r *_{\theta} G$ is residually finite.

Proof. Given any prime $p$, we can consider the endomorphism $\overline{\theta}_p$ of $(C_p)^r$ by reducing $\overline{\theta}$ mod $p$. If $\det(\overline{\theta}) \neq 0$ when considered as an endomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ then, by taking a prime $p$ which does not divide $\det(\overline{\theta})$ we have that $\overline{\theta}_p$ is invertible.

Thus in the case where $G$ is the free group $F_r$, on being given a non identity element $x$ of $G$ we choose a term $L_i$ of the derived or other appropriate $p$-series for $F_r$ where $x \notin L_i$. Then $\theta(L_i) \leq L_i \leq_f G$, allowing us to take the finite index
subgroup $S_i = \langle L_i, t \rangle$ of $\Gamma$. We are done if we can show $\theta(G)L_i = G$ because then we would have $\theta^{-1}(L_i) = L_i$ by the above, so we can apply Proposition 5.1 to conclude that $S_i \cap G = L_i$ and $x \notin S_i$. Now $\theta(G)L_i = G$ if and only if $\theta(G)L_i/L_i = G/L_i$ but $\theta(G)L_i/L_i$ is the image of $\theta(G)$ under the quotient map $q_i$ from $G$ to $G/L_i$.

We certainly have that $q_i(\theta(G)) = G/L_i$ if $i = 1$ in which case $L_1 = G^p[G, G]$, since by our assumption on $p$ we have that $q_i\theta(G) = \partial_i((C_p)^r)$ is all of $(C_p)^r = G/L_1$. However as $G/L_i$ is a finite $p$-group, we can utilise the Frattini subgroup (intersection of all maximal subgroups). If this subgroup is finitely generated then a set generates the whole group if and only if it generates the group when quotiented by the Frattini subgroup. Now in the case of a finite $p$-group $P$, the Frattini subgroup is $P^p[P, P]$. Thus for any $i$ we have that the Frattini subgroup of $P = G/L_i$ is the image of $G^p[G, G]$ under $q_i$, so the quotient of $G/L_i$ by this subgroup is $G/(G^p[G, G]L_i)$. But $L_i \leq G^p[G, G]$ and so the image of $\theta(G)$ in $G/L_i$ is all of $G/L_i$. 

We have written out this proof so that it applies in more general situations:

**Corollary 5.3.** If $G$ is any finitely generated group which is residually finite $p$ and $\theta$ is an injective endomorphism of $G$ then the associated HNN extension $G \ast_\theta$ is residually finite provided that the induced endomorphism of $G/G^p[G, G]$ is invertible.

**Proof.** The residually finite $p$ condition is equivalent to the derived or lower central $p$-series intersecting in the identity. Now the proof proceeds as before and the invertible condition is used to invoke the Frattini argument at the end. 

There are a range of groups which are residually finite $p$, for instance any finitely generated linear group in characteristic 0 is virtually residually finite $p$ for all but finitely many primes $p$ (again due to Malce’ev), thus we can find examples amongst the finite index subgroups of any such linear group. We remark though that a necessary condition for a non-cyclic finitely generated group $G$ to be residually finite $p$ is that $G$ surjects to $C_p \times C_p$, as otherwise all finite $p$-images of $G$ are cyclic which would imply in this case that $G$ was too.

**Example:** The finitely presented ascending HNN extension $\Gamma = G \ast_\sigma$ of the Grigorchuk group $G = \langle a, c, d \rangle$ which is shown to be non residually finite in [19] is formed using the injective endomorphism $\sigma$, where $\sigma(a) = acd, \sigma(c) = dc, \sigma(d) = c$. As $G/G^2[G, G] = \langle C_2 \rangle^4$, generated by the images of $a, c, d$, we see that the induced homomorphism on $G/G^2[G, G]$ is not injective, with $ad$ in the kernel. (If it were then Corollary 5.3 would give us the first example of a finitely presented, residually finite group which is not virtually soluble nor contains $F_2$. This is because $G$ is a 2-group and residually finite, so residually finite 2.)

In fact we can adjust $\Gamma$ slightly to come up with a group which is “even less residually finite”. Any ascending HNN extension must surject to $\mathbb{Z}$ and so have some finite index subgroups, namely the cyclic covers. However here we have an example where these are all the finite index subgroups, even though the base is finitely generated and residually finite.
Proposition 5.4. Let $G$ be the Grigorchuk group and $[G,G]$ its commutator subgroup of index 8. Then the only finite index subgroups of the ascending HNN extension $\Delta = [G,G]*\sigma$, where we restrict $\sigma$ to $[G,G]$, are the cyclic covers.

Proof. We have that $\Delta$ is a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma = G*\sigma$ by [6] Proposition 4.3 (iv); in fact it can be checked that $\Delta$ has index 4. Moreover it is shown in [19] that in any finite quotient of $\Gamma$, the base $G$ maps to an abelian subgroup and so $[G,G]$ maps to the identity. Suppose there exists a finite index normal subgroup $N$ of $\Delta$ such that the image of $[G,G]$ is non trivial in $\Delta/N$. Although $N$ need not be normal in $\Gamma$, we can find $M \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ with $M \leq N$, so that the image of $[G,G]$ is non trivial in $\Gamma/M$ which is a contradiction.

This means that every finite index normal subgroup of $\Delta$ contains $[G,G]$ and hence also the kernel of the associated homomorphism for $\Delta = [G,G]*\sigma$, thus the only finite quotients of $\Delta$ are cyclic and the only finite index subgroups are the cyclic covers. \[\square\]

Taking the 2-generator 4-relator presentation for $\Gamma$ as given in [10] Section 4, we can use MAGMA to identify and obtain a finite presentation for $\Delta$ which is

$$\langle s, u | u^{-1}s^2u^{-1}su^{-1}us^{-1}us^{-1}, (su^{-1}s)^2, [s,u]^4, (su^{-1}s^2u^{-2})^4 \rangle$$

for $u = asasa$, where $a$ is as above in the Grigorchuk group and $s$ is the inverse of the stable letter in the HNN extension.

Example: In [9] the injective endomorphism $\theta(a) = b, \theta(b) = a^2$ of the rank two free group $F(a,b)$ is considered. The resulting ascending HNN extension $F(a,b)*\theta$ is shown to be a 1-relator group $\langle a, t | t^2at^{-2} = a^2 \rangle$ which is non linear (by using results of Wehrfritz) but residually finite (using [3]). Here we see the conditions in Theorem 5.2 are satisfied because $\det(\theta) = -2$, so we can use any prime but 2 to complete a proof that $F(a,b)*\theta$ has these properties without recourse to the sophisticated results in [3].
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